

**SELECTION EFFECTS OF LENDER AND
BORROWER CHOICES ON RISK MEASUREMENT,
MANAGEMENT AND PRUDENTIAL REGULATION**

Thi Mai Luong

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Finance Discipline Group
University of Technology Sydney

May 2020

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Thi Mai Luong, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Finance Discipline Group at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution. This research is supported by UTS International Research Scholarship and UTS President's Scholarship.

Production Note:

Signed: Signature removed prior to publication.

Thi Mai Luong

Date: 27/5/2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Professor Harry Scheule for his supervision, guidance, motivation and support throughout my PhD candidature. Moreover, I am grateful to him for giving me invaluable opportunities during my PhD that enable me to learn, work, and gain new knowledge and experience.

I would also like to thank Associate Professor Eliza Wu, Professor Daniel Roesch, Associate Professor Jianxin Wang, Dr Vitali Alekseev, and Dr Christina Nikitopulous for their support and assistance. I am thankful for the financial support of the University of Technology Sydney. To my PhD friends and numerous academic and administrative staff in the Finance Discipline Group, thanks for creating a supportive and productive environment that inspired me to work hard.

Most importantly, to my beloved husband Hung Nguyen who has accompanied me in the PhD journey as well as in life – thank you for always staying by my side, sharing with me all the joy as well as the hardship. I could not have done this without your endless love and continuous support. To my dad Luong Ngoc Tuyen – thank you for always encouraging and believing in me. I know my achievement today will make you proud.

ABSTRACT

Empirical studies rely on features of observed data to gain new knowledge. However, data sets are often subject to selection bias. Recently, researchers have paid more attention to the impact of sample selection bias on outcome processes. In banking, selection is based on both lender and consumer choices and significantly affects outcomes of risk performance. This thesis presents three studies on the selection effects of lender and borrower choices on risk measurement, management and prudential regulation.

The first study investigates the voluntary selection of banks to participate in a government guarantee scheme implemented during Global Financial Crisis 2008 – 2009 in Australia. First, we find strong empirical evidence that Australian banks that entered into the wholesale funding guarantee scheme offered by the Australian Government experienced a significant reduction in their funding costs and funding premiums. However, we also show that the subsequent removal of the guarantee scheme did not result in a full repricing of funding costs to normal levels. Further, the guarantee program did not cause excessive risk taking in terms of general bank risk, asset risk, or liquidity risk. Additionally, banks allocated the additional debt funding to residential mortgage loans coincided with a period of strong growth in house prices in Australia. The findings contribute to bank risk management on the liability side.

The second study investigates the impact of prepayment selection on default likelihood. First, we document that prepayment and default are linked in a u-shaped pattern. Default risk is high for two distinct groups. The first group includes borrowers who have low prepayment risk as suggested by observed factors (unconditional effect). The second group includes borrowers who have high prepayment risk but did not refinance and remain in the sample post prepayment (selection effect). Second, the main cause for a high default rate in upturns is a

selection effect, while that for high default in downturns is an unconditional effect. Third, industry practice models result in a significant error in default calibration. We propose a two-stage model with a novel correction term to achieve a better default prediction than industry and literature models. The findings contribute to bank risk measurement and management on the lending side.

The third study explores two approaches to predict prepayment risk and default risk in the multi-period setting: a life-cycle model and a forward model. Using data of US fixed-rate prime mortgages from 2000–2016, we find that both models perform equally well for prepayment and default predictions in the first three years, while the accuracy of both models decreases for longer periods. A life-cycle model provides a better calibration for later ages, while a forward model is more accurate in forecasts for periods beyond three years. We analyze the impact of prepayment selection on multi-period default predictions. We find that a default model, which controls for prepayment selection, provides more accurate default probabilities in long run than a model without selection. The mean absolute error can reduce by nearly 50% if controlling for prepayment selection. Our findings are useful for banks to assess more accurately mortgage risk over the loan lifetime and to implement loan loss provisioning changes under international accounting standards

CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	x
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Motivation.....	1
1.2 Literature review	6
1.2.1 Inclusion selection.....	6
1.2.2 Exclusion selection.....	7
1.2.3 Survivorship selection.....	8
1.3 Thesis contributions	10
1.4 Thesis structure	11
Chapter 2: The Impact of Government Wholesale Guarantees on Banks' Funding Costs and Lending Behavior: Evidence from a Natural Experiment	13
2.1 Abstract.....	13
2.2 Introduction.....	13
2.3 Background	18
2.3.1 Australian banking sector.....	18
2.3.2 Wholesale Funding Guarantee Scheme.....	19
2.4 Literature review and research questions.....	20
2.4.1 Determinants of banks' funding costs and funding premiums.....	20
2.4.2 Guarantees and bank risk-taking.....	22
2.5 Empirical framework	24
2.5.1 Control for WGS selection.....	25
2.5.2 Test of the adoption of the WGS guarantee	25
2.5.3 Test of the removal of the WGS guarantee	27
2.5.4 Test of bank risk-taking	27
2.5.5 Test of the impact of the WGS on bank loan growth.....	28
2.5.6 Robustness check: propensity score matching with bootstrapping.....	29
2.5.7 Robustness checks: bond yield spread analysis	30
2.6 Empirical results	31
2.6.1 Data	31
2.6.1.1 <i>Dependent variables: bank funding costs</i>	34
2.6.1.2 <i>Bank funding costs by WGS participation</i>	35
2.6.1.3 <i>Control variables: bank characteristics and macroeconomic variables</i>	39
2.6.2 Regression results.....	41
2.6.2.1 <i>The effect of the adoption of WGS</i>	41
2.6.2.2 <i>The effect of the removal of WGS</i>	46
2.6.2.3 <i>Bank Risk-taking</i>	47
2.6.2.4 <i>Relationship between the WGS and loan growth</i>	49
2.6.3 Robustness check	49
2.6.3.1 <i>Propensity score matching with bootstrapping</i>	49

2.6.3.2 Bond yield spreads.....	52
2.7 Conclusions.....	57
Chapter 3: Prepayment Selection in Mortgage Credit Risk.....	59
3.1 Abstract.....	59
3.2 Introduction.....	59
3.2.1 Motivation.....	59
3.2.2 Research approach	63
3.3 Literature review.....	66
3.3.1 Common factors of prepayments	66
3.3.2 Common factors of the defaults	67
3.3.3 Relation between prepayments and defaults	68
3.3.4 Contributions of our study	70
3.4 Modelling frameworks.....	71
3.4.1 Default process without sample selection.....	71
3.4.2 Default process with prepayment selection.....	72
3.4.3 Two-stage default model with prepayment correction.....	75
3.5 Decomposition of observed effect	77
3.5.1 Unconditional effect and selection effect.....	77
3.5.2 Simulation study.....	78
3.5.2.1 Data generating process and model set up	78
3.5.2.2 Results.....	81
3.6 Empirical analysis.....	83
3.6.1 Data description	83
3.6.1.1 Dependent variables	84
3.6.1.2 Risk factors	86
3.6.2 Multivariate regression models	93
3.6.2.1 Pooled data.....	93
3.6.2.2 Economic upturns and downturns	96
3.6.2.3 LTV below and above 80% in economic upturns and downturns	99
3.6.2.4 PDs calibration and accuracy of models	103
3.6.2.5 Out-of-sample forecasts.....	106
3.6.2.6 Robustness checks.....	108
3.7 Conclusions.....	112
Chapter 4: Multi-Period Forecasts of Mortgage Credit Risk in the Presence of Prepayment Selection	114
4.1 Abstract.....	114
4.2 Introduction.....	114
4.2.1 Motivation.....	114
4.2.2 Research approach	117
4.3 Literature review.....	120
4.3.1 Concepts of modelling term structures	120
4.3.2 Credit risk by life-cycle models	122
4.3.3 Credit risk by forward models.....	124
4.4 Modelling frameworks.....	125
4.4.1 Life-cycle modelling approach.....	125
4.4.2 Forward modelling approach	128
4.4.3 Formulas for survival probability and unconditional probability	130
4.5 Empirical analysis.....	131
4.5.1 Data source.....	131
4.5.1.1 Dependent variables	132

4.5.1.2 Control variables.....	134
4.5.1.3 Relationship between dependent and control variables	135
4.5.2 Life-cycle models for mortgages	136
4.5.3 Forward models for mortgages	142
4.6 Validation.....	150
4.6.1 Validation 1: multi-year forecasts for loans since origination by age.....	150
4.6.2 Validation 2: multi-year forecasts for the portfolio by time	154
4.6.3 Robustness check	158
4.7 Conclusions.....	160
Chapter 5: Conclusions	163
5.1 Summary of key findings.....	163
5.2 Implications of the thesis	165
Appendix	168
Bibliography.....	171

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Literature of sample selection process	2
Table 1.2: Relationship of thesis chapters to bank activities.....	4
Table 2.1 Definition of variables.....	33
Table 2.2 Summary statistics of variables by periods	38
Table 2.3 Summary statistics of variables by big and small guaranteed banks.....	39
Table 2.4 Selection model for bank-level WGS participation.....	41
Table 2.5 Impact of WGS participation and removal on funding costs	43
Table 2.6 Sensitivity of the WGS to wholesale funding	45
Table 2.7 Impact of WGS participation on bank risk-taking and loan growth rates	48
Table 2.8 Robustness check: ex-ante characteristics on propensity score matching.....	50
Table 2.9 Robustness check: results on bootstrapping test	51
Table 2.10 Robustness check: summary statistics of bonds issued by Australian banks.....	53
Table 2.11 Robustness check: selection model for bonds guaranteed	54
Table 2.12 Robustness check: impact of WGS participation on bond yield spreads	55
Table 3.1 Definition of variables	86
Table 3.2 Summary statistics of variables on the pooled data.....	90
Table 3.3 Summary statistics of variables on subsamples with LTV below and above 80% in upturns and downturns	92
Table 3.4 Regression results on pooled data	93
Table 3.5 Regression results on subsamples of upturns and downturns	97
Table 3.6 Regression results on subsamples with LTV below and above 80%	100
Table 3.7 Model calibrations for predicted PDs by prepayment risk levels.....	104
Table 3.8 Accuracy of in-sample predicted PD across models	105
Table 3.9 Accuracy of out-of-sample PD forecasts across models	107
Table 3.10 Robustness check: accuracy of predicted PD across models	110
Table 4.1 Comparison of life-cycle model and forward model.....	120
Table 4.2 Related literature using two approaches for multi-period forecasts.....	121
Table 4.3 Definition of variable used	132
Table 4.4 Summary statistics of variables by loan age and time.....	133
Table 4.5 Summary statistics of dependent and control variables used	136
Table 4.6 Regression results of life-cycle models	138
Table 4.7 In-sample calibration of life-cycle models	141
Table 4.8 Regression results of forward models	143
Table 4.9 In-sample calibration of forward models	149
Table 4.10 Validation 1: multi-year forecasts for loans since origination by age.....	153
Table 4.11 Validation 2: multi-year forecasts for portfolios at a base year by future year....	157
Table 4.12 Robustness check: validation tests for different default proxies	160

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. WGS participation activities	20
Figure 2.2. Bank funding costs over time.....	34
Figure 2.3. Bank funding costs by participation.....	36
Figure 3.1. Cumulative prepayment and default rates over loan age	60
Figure 3.2. Two-stage selection mechanism	61
Figure 3.3. Simulation: unconditional effect, observed effect and selection effect	82
Figure 3.4. Empirical data: prepayment and default rate over time	85
Figure 3.5. Relation between prepayment risk and default risk on pooled data.....	96
Figure 3.6. Relation between prepayment risk and default risk in upturns and downturns	99
Figure 3.7. Relation between prepayment risk and default risk by LTV levels	102
Figure 4.1. Estimates of key factors in forward prepayment models	145
Figure 4.2. Estimates of key factors in forward default models.....	147
Figure 4.3. Validation 1: multi-year forecasts for loans since origination	152
Figure 4.4. Validation 2: multi-year forecasts for portfolios at a base year by future years ..	155
Figure 4.5. Robustness check: different proxies of default	159

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADI	Authorised deposit-taking institution
AFT	Accelerated failure time
APRA	Australian Prudential Regulation Authority
AUROC	Area under receiver operating curve
CCR	Credit correction ratio
CDF	Cumulative distribution function
CPH	Cox proportional hazards
DTI	Debt-to-income
GFC	Global Financial Crisis
HPI	House price index
IFRS 9	International Financial Reporting Standard 9
IMR	Inverse Mills Ratio
LTV	Loan-to-value
LIBOR	London Inter-bank Offered Rate
MAE	Mean absolute error
MNL	Multinomial logit
PD	Probability of default
PP	Probability of prepayment
US GAAP	United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
WGS	Wholesale Government Guarantee Scheme